

## United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,428	05/30/2001	Thomas Alan Slopsema	GP-301083	7065
7	590 04/08/2003			
CHRISTOPHER DEVRIES			EXAMINER	
General Motors Legal Staff Ma	s Corporation ail Code 482-C23-B21		CASTRO,	ARNOLD
P.O. Box 300			A DE LIN LIN	D. DDD 1811 (DDD
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	13
		DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/870,428	SLOPSEMA ET AL.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Arnold Castro	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: ( condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	<ul><li>I) a timely filed amendment which</li></ul>	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
<ul> <li>1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> <li>2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(a) ☐ they raise flew issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below),  (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,				
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is		-				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449)	·				
10. Other:		Tow M. Argenbright Primary Examiner Art Unit 9747				





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new claims 22, 23, and 24 submitted would be acceptable if they replaced claim 1. The addition of "electronic" in front of throttle in claim 11 would be sufficient to overcome the rejection of record for claim 11.